

Vascularized Composite Allotransplantation: Knowledge and Attitudes in Three Populations

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine Committee on Principles and Framework to Guide the Development of Protocols and Standard Operating Procedures for Face and Hand Transplants

> Heather M. Gardiner, PhD, MPH April 17, 2024

Population 1: Donation Professionals

- Specific Aim 1: Explore the knowledge, attitudes and acceptability of VCA among donation professionals and the general public
- Semi-structured interviews with 157 DPs from 39 organ procurement organizations
- 59 open- and closed-ended questions exploring experience, knowledge, comfort, confidence and preparation from VCA donation discussions

Characteristic	N	(%)
Mean Age	41 y	(SD 4.8y)
Sex		
Female	114	(74.0%)
Ethnicity		
Not Hispanic/Latino	133	(86.4%)
Race		
Black/African American	18	(11.7%)
White/Caucasian	119	(77.3%)
Other	17	(11.0%)
Education		
High school	2	(1.3%)
Some college	15	(9.7%)
Bachelor's degree or higher	137	(89.0%)



Population 1: Donation Professionals

Number of VCA Donation Approaches	%
1	23.9
2-5	45.7
6-10	15.2
10+	15.2
N = 46 (29.9%)	

Type of VCA Discussed	N (%)
Hands/forearms	15 (32.6)
Face	4 (8.7)
Uterus	4 (8.7)
Abdominal Wall	3 (6.5)
Penis	2 (4.3)
Scalp	1 (2.2)
Legs	0 (0.0)
Larynx	0 (0.0)

Self Reported Preparation	Mean (SD)	
Prior VCA Request (Range: 1-10)	s*	
No (n=108)	3.3 (2.1)	
Yes (n=46)	6.4 (2.5)	
Prior VCA Training*		
No (n=103)	3.4 (2.2)	
Yes (n=51)	5.9 (2.7)	
N = 154; *P<.0001		

Population 1: Donation Professionals

	Hand	Face	Uterus	Penis	Leg	Larynx
Knowledge	3.81 (2.68)	3.30 (2.49)	2.94 (2.23)	2.58 (2.31)	2.44 (1.98)	1.64 (1.59)
Confidence	4.69 (2.99)	4.05 (2.85)	4.06 (3.01)	3.53 (2.84)	3.74 (2.73)	3.18 (2.73)
Comfort	5.39 (3.15)	4.62 (2.77)	5.14 (3.17)	4.11 (3.06)	4.63 (3.14)	4.51 (3.18)

N=154; Mean (SD); Range for all scales 0-10.

Population 2: General Public

6 Focus Group Interviews

- 53 members of general public (of 208 expressing interest in participation)
- 7-12 participants per group
- Respondents completed brief selfadministered survey before beginning the group discussion
- Each focus group lasted from 78 to 110 minutes
- Group discussions were digitally recorded, transcribed, and uploaded to MAXQDA for qualitative analysis

Characteristic	N (%)		
Mean Age (years)	41		
Sex			
Female	42 (79.2%)		
Ethnicity			
Not Hispanic/Latino	88 (77.4%)		
Race			
Black/African American	16 (11.3%)		
White/Caucasian	21 (39.6%)		
Other	16 (30.2%)		
Education			
High school or less	11 (21.0%)		
Some college	14 (26.4%)		
Bachelor's degree or higher	28 (52.8%)		

Population 2: General Public

Analyses revealed six themes:

- Strong initial reactions to VCAs
- Limited knowledge of and reservations about VCAs
- Risk versus reward in receipt of VCA
- Information needed to authorize VCA donation
- Attitudes toward donation
- Mistrust of the organ donation system

Disposition Toward Donation	N (%)	
Registered Organ, Tissue	and Eye Donor	
Yes	30 (56.6)	
Willing to Donate Own Organs		
Yes	35 (66.0)	
Willing to Donate Family	Members' Organs	
Yes	22 (41.5)	
If I Knew Their Wishes	27 (50.9)	
Willing to Join a VCA Donor Registry		
Yes	26 (49.0)	

- Specific Aim 1: Explore the knowledge, attitudes, and interest in VCA among veterans through semi-structured interviews with 60 veterans identified as potential candidates for a VCA of the hand or face
- Sample drawn from DoD Joint Trauma Registry (ICD-9/10)
- Reviewed nearly 4,600 medical records from 2000-2019
- Identified 247 patients with injuries to hand or face
- Semi-structured interviews held with 62 veterans
 - · Current health status, treatments and therapies received
 - Impact of injuries on daily life, coping and support
 - Prior knowledge, interest in and comfort with VCA

Characteristic	N (%)		
Sex			
Male	61	(98%)	
Ethnicity			
Hispanic	14	(22.6%)	
Race			
White	48	(77.4%)	
Black	6	(9.7%)	
Other	8	(12.9%)	
Education			
High school or less	11	(17.7%)	
Some college	19	(30.7%)	
Bachelor's degree	18	(29.0%)	
Graduate degree	14	(22.6%)	

Years Since Injury	N	(%)
More than 20 years	1	(1.6%)
15-19 years	33	(53.2%)
10-14 years	24	(38.7%)
5-9 years	3	(4.8%)
0-4 years	1	(1.6%)

Potential VCA Graft Site	N (%)	
Hand/Upper Extremity	51 (82%)	
Face	7 (11%)	
Both Hand/UE and Face	4 (6%)	

Interest in VCA	N	(%)
Not interested	40	(64.5)
Interested or would consider	20	(32.3%)
Received a VCA	1	(1.6%)
Unknown	1	(1.6%)

Reasons for Interest in VCA	N
Potential functional benefits	10
Becoming more independent	2
Cosmetic appearance	2
Helping to advance the science/access to the treatment	2

Reasons for Disinterest in VCA	N
Concerns about immunosuppressants	24
Satisfaction with current condition	9
Limited function or benefits of transplant	8
Transplant rejection/failure	7

Prior Knowledge of VCA	N	(%)
Some prior knowledge	39	(62.9%)
No prior knowledge	21	(33.9%)
Received a VCA	1	(1.6%)
Unknown	1	(1.6%)

Comfort Receiving VCA	N	(%)
Not at all comfortable	21	(33.9%)
Somewhat uncomfortable	11	(17.7%)
Neutral	8	(12.9%)
Somewhat comfortable	8	(12.9)
Very comfortable	11	(17.7%)
No response	3	(4.8%)

Shared Decision-Making in VCA

- Specific Aim 2: Develop a proposal for a shared decision-making process that is inclusive of patients, their family caregivers and health care through an expert panel of clinicians who regularly provide care for veterans with catastrophic injuries, including surgeons, primary care physicians, psychologists, nurses, and social workers
- Modified e-Delphi Study (9 clinicians)
- Focus group with veterans (3) and caregivers (2)
- One-on-one interview with one veteran who had received a VCA

Shared DecisionMaking Definition

Shared decision making in VCA begins when patients present with interest in considering candidacy for VCA and includes the decisions to undergo the selection process inclusive of the medical testing needed to ascertain eligibility and, if deemed eligible, to pursue VCA as well as to follow the recommendations and management needed to care for and maintain the VCA for as long as the patient has the VCA.

Shared decision making combines the inherent responsibility of the clinical team to provide patients with the best possible outcome and the right of patients to define what best possible outcome means AND for the clinical team to understand patients' health-related values and goals. Underpinning this process is the bidirectional transfer of information needed for patients to understand required tests and the evaluations required to determine candidacy for VCA. This encompasses expected risks, rewards, lifestyle trade-offs needed to proceed with candidacy for VCA and future changes to maintain the VCA. Patients must also agree to the expected timeline and deal with potentially lethal and non-lethal complications post-transplant.

Shared DecisionMaking Model

Injury-Related Factors

Acceptance of Current Disability, Quality of Life, Degree of Disability or Disfigurement, Expectations for Improvement, Expectations for Disability without Further Treatment, Social Rehabilitation, Pre- and Post-Injury Occupation, Post-Traumatic Symptom Disorder

Patient/Other Significant Decision Maker Factors

SES, Home Environment, Family Dynamics,
Employment Situation, Acceptance of Current
Disability, Valued Health Goals, Biases, Patient
Background/Experience, Resilience, Knowledge, Risk
Tolerance, Social Support, Adherence, Current
Health/Medical History, Culture, Motivation, Longterm Commitment to Therapy

Communication Factors

Time, Trust, Respect
Relationship,
Communication Style,
Verbal and Nonverbal Competence,
Emotional Intelligence, Listening Ability

Provider/Team Factors

Prior Experiences, Biases, Motivation, Influence on Careers, Knowledge, Commitment to Beneficence, Opportunity for Visibility, Institutional Support, Longterm Commitment to the Therapy

VCA-Related Factors

Alternative Treatments, Alignment with Patient Preferences/Values, Type of Transplant, Expectations for Function and Cosmesis, Body Image Changes, Surgical and Non-surgical Risks and Complications, Expected Longevity of VCA, Potential Impact of VCA on Other Domains, Need for Immunotherapy, Uncertanties

System Factors

Current State of Therapy, Technology and Management, Financial Burden, Proximity to Transplant Center, Uncertainties